REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR DEVELOPING DIGITAL ARCHIVES FOR PRIME MINISTERS MUSEUM AND LIBRARY (PMML)

CORRIGENDUM 3

1. The last date for the submission of bids has been extended till 06/09/2024 by 05:00 PM.

2. The reply to the queries of the prospective bidders are as follows:

Sr. No	Reference	Clause	Query/Concern	PMML Response
1	Section 1.36 - Sr No 2 Minimum Eligibility Criteria	Authorization	Based on some of the specific requirements in the RFP (Angular, Node, Java spring boot), the only base open-source information management software that qualifies is DSpace. However, there are several open-source information management/ repository software which can provide a similar functionality. By limiting the specs to DSpace software, Tier 1&2 tagging of service provider, as well as limiting the location to Delhi, this tender seems to be skewed and only one vendor can participate in this case and hence limits the participation of other parties as well as other software, who/which may be able to provide/be a better solution.	Specifications are based on institution's requirements. Similar practices are followed by other institutions as well. There are equivalent information management software available that are built using Open Source development framework that meets the performance standards required in this RFP and qualifies here as a possible solution. Bidder is free to select solution meeting or exceeding given requirements. Presence of local office in Delhi will ensure prompt support including time to time onsite engagements.

| 2 | Section 1.36, Sr No 4 - Minimum Eligibility Criteria | Credibility of Proposed Solution | The Scope of Work mention in Section 2, states that add on components such as authentication and authorization, Personalization, Payment Gateway Integration, redesigned website cum portal, logs, Workflow Management System, Reporting, etc. may be developed opensource technologies leveraging available APls. This contradicts Point No. 4 of Section 1.36 which states, "The proposed solution should be a regular product having a proven history and track record. It should not be a custom application developed on a platform or an ad-hoc retrieval solution". None of the components mentioned in the Scope of work are available in DSpace or any other similar open-source community software for download and reuse and hence needs to be developed for PMML. There is a similar observation in Section 1.38 – Point No. 4 as well. | PMML has provided specifications for enterprise grade platforms (product). As a standard practice, all enterprise scale products/platforms are customizable to meet specific requirements of institutions. PMML has similar expectations. The word product is used in a generic sense.

As clarified above, PMML has not mentioned name of any specific platform and bidders are encouraged to propose best suited solutions meeting or exceeding RFP's requirements. |

3	Section 1.36, Sr No 3 - Minimum Eligibility Criteria	Technology Competence	This clause seems to limit the technology competence to only one narrow area without considering similar competencies in the same domain, which may be utilized for proprietary software development, from the scratch. Thus, we feel this condition excludes vendors who are technically competent and have DSpace like proprietary software development done from the scratch. Also, this clause, when taken together with the Authorization, Credibility of Proposed Solution and Office Location clauses skews the tender in favour of only one vendor, thereby creating a monopoly situation	Contribution in open source community is one of the base method to determine participant's expertise on the proposed platform. However, this is not a mandatory condition to qualify for the bid.
4	Section 1.36 Sr No 6 - Minimum Eligibility Criteria	Technology Standard	This clause makes the solution specific to Angular, Node and Java spring boot. In specific modules also there is rigidity regarding the technology stacks to be used. Please consider providing flexibility in the choice of technology stack to ensure a better solution, which is not constrained by the technology stack and meets the technical and functional requirements.	Given technologies are enterprise scale and used by most institutions for similar requirements. Each of the written technology is open source and non-proprietary in nature, hence, there is no restriction on anybody to use these technologies or any other open source systems. However, vendors are free to suggest any equivalent/ robust open source technology with proper test cases.

5	Section 1.36 Sr No 10- Minimum Eligibility Criteria	Location	This clause will limit the number of people who can participate in the bid. Many non-Delhi vendors who may have implemented digital library projects of a high value in other places may be deprived of participation in the bid due to the location restriction. Also, post-covid, most technology companies are effectively delivering the desired results through virtual offices. We work with residence offices across India and our branch office is nothing but residence of the Regional Manager. We have as our Regional Sales Manager who looks after the North region from his residence office at Delhi. Please consider the residence office eligible in this case	Local presence in Delhi will ensure prompt support including time to time onsite engagements.	
6	Section 1.46 - Payment	Customization and UAT - T+4 months	This is in direct contradiction with Section 1.36, point No. 4 that the proposed application should not be a custom solution, but it should be a product. Please clarify this contradiction.	As confirmed above in Point 2, PMML requires enterprise scale platforms (product), which can be customized/fine-tuned as per PMML's requirements.	

7	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Objectives - "Complete catalogue of digitized and non-digitized material should be available for researchers and users".	Please clarify what is included in the non-digitised material and the kind of system in which the catalogue/metadata for this non-digital material is made available for exporting to the proposed web bases search solution.	PMML has catalogues for all its collections. Only a handful of collections are digitized and are included in this project. However, the catalogue of collections have been digitized and will be included in this platform for viewing of the same by users. The catalogue of this non-digitized material is available in PDF format.
8	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Objectives - "The PS website shall be compliant to 'accessibility' guidelines of Govt. of India (especially, for visually impaired)"	Please share the document or provide an online link where the document is available	Kindly refer GIGW (Guidelines for Indian Government Websites) standards of Government of India.
9	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information > 4. Functional Requirement > Public Access to Digital Library & archives catalogue	Please provide clarity on Digital Library and Archives Catalogue, and what each of them includes/excludes. Also let us know whether these are on DSpace or any other systems. If on different systems, which are they?	All the digitized data is available in PDF format and will be shared for upload in the Digital Library Software and the catalogue of non-digitized material will also be made available in PDF to be shared through same platform

10	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information > 6. Operations and Maintenance > "Product Demo/ testing: From time to time a product demo will be given and users will be allowed to test the integrated product. The user feedback will be captured and accordingly the future workflow will be planned".	Please confirm if these changes will be within the scope of work mentioned.	This clause is not part of Operation and Maintenance but is separate clause under Factual Information and will be determined based on the nature of change. Here, PMML is referring to the development process of the digital archives system which should take into consideration feedback at different milestones during the build process itself. The changes and its implementation would be within the scope of work.
11	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information > 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Architecture > Point 2	This clause states that the front end should be developed using Angular only. Since this point also suggests that all the back end functionality should be available by means of Rest API, we feel that alternatives such as React will also be useful in developing the front end as both React and Angular are equally capable in using Rest APIs to provide good user experience. Kindly Confirm	Front-end module should be developed using the technologies such as Angular, React or any other equivalent/ robust technology with proper justification of using them.
12	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information > 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Architecture > Point 3	Which platform is this referring to in the phrase "Platform-Independent solution"?	It referred to Operating System independence. Solution should work in wide range of operating environments such as windows, Ubuntu, Linux etc.

13	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information > 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Architecture > Point 8, 9	Please clarify the business use case for these two requirements	Clause 8 is self-explanatory and is part of Digital Rights Management. Clause 9 - Considering possibility of having multiple attachments added to the same object, PMML would like to have facility of adding attachment level metadata for a better user experience.
14	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information > 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Architecture > Point 13	What constitutes Paper records? Please clarify	Scanning and uploading of data on the go should be part of the system which will be done by the authorised user only.
15	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information > 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Architecture > Point 20	Need details on the end-points required, as this may need to be factored in the software development process.	In the application certain information needs to be exposed through APIs, hence, this may be factored planning the bid.
16	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information > 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Front end module > Point 3, 11, 12	These requirements seem to be some specific UI pattern for content display, by some product PMML may have examined. Such narrations as conditions in the RFP document without giving a visual idea of this expression makes it difficult for visualisation. Please clarify.	PMML expect bidder to be experienced enough to come up with a good User interface based on given requirements.

17	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information > 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Security & Access Control > Point 6	Please clarify if the LDAP server will be pre-populated and provided by PMML. Will the end user data be stored in LDAP? We feel that the user may prefer re-using logins for example using Google, MS, FaceBook logins for end users i.e., use a Single Sing-On Mechanism	The bidder will implement LDAP as authentication method. However, an option for using Google, MS, Facebook logins for end users can be given.
18	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information > 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Security & Access Control > Point 8 & 9	Please explain this. The terms like cabinet, sub-cabinet etc. are not clear and the document does not provide a definition for these terms	These are storage hierarchy levels.

| 19 | Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work | Factual Information > 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Metadata > Point 1,2,3,4,6 | The Scope of Work defined in Section 2 defines the scope of the project to develop a search system for all types of digital resources in PMML library and archive, with a few add-ons in the search and content delivery process to serve as an independent web portal. Here the RFP document is referring to Integrated Document Management Solution, which will be accessed by the proposed search system only for display and delivery of documents, online, as a post search function. Please clarify the relevance of this clause in connection with the Scope of Work defined in Section 2, under Factual Information. | While all clauses are self-explanatory in nature. Please find below explanation:

PMML wants to follow industry standard practices including metadata standards like Dublin Core. At the same time, to meet our specific requirements, solution should have provision to customize any number of metadata fields over and above standard Dublin core fields (extended Dublin Core). Also, the solution should allow authorized users to add metadata through User interface in the solution during data upload. During metadata entry, Ontologies to be integrated in fields having preferred values as per PMML for cataloguing and enable cross lingual searches, and other possible use cases during the search. |

| 20 | Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work | Factual Information > 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Content Viewing > Point 2 | Functional Requirement, on Search (point 2) limits the scope of search to metadata fields only. However, full-text search can be enabled, which will require exposing the entire full-text in the archives stored in the information repository to the search engine of the proposed web based search portal for indexing. While it is a processor intensive function, since the search logic used is boolean search, full-text search across the archive files is generally not recommended as it will lead to high degree of noise in search results. Hence, require clarity on whether this is really needed for better end-user search experience | While clause is explanatory enough about full text search within document opened for viewing purposes. PMML needs full text search on the entire repository with large volume and considering the performance requirements on large scale, specifications and experience requirements are provided for enterprise grade solutions. |

| 21 | Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work | Factual Information 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Annotations, Productivity Report, Audit Report, Performance Reports, Production documents capture workflow solution | The Objectives Section as well as the Factual Information Section which detail out the broad areas of the Scope Of Work do not include Annotations or Production documents capture workflow solutions. Also, the Dashboard and Analytical Reporting for Analysis in Objectives and Factual Information Section do not provide the kinds of reports anf the business use case for such reports. Howver, the specific sections mentioned in the Functional Specs do not seem to fit in the scope of the broader Scope Of Work, esp Annotations and Production documents capture workflow solutions. Requesting PMML to provide clarity on the elaborate set of requirements in this category, though it seems to be missing in the main Objectives for the platform | Objectives are meant to provide broader picture while functional requirements section is a comparatively detailed write up of requirements. Annotations are part of a good research experience on documents, hence required. Envisaged reports specifications are part of functional requirements, and enterprise level analytics specifications are required for dashboard developments based on needs arising in future. |

| 22 | Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work | Factual Information 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Batch data upload tool > Point 1 | The point mentions the use of a desktop-based client. This is in direct contradiction with the very first point in the Functional Specifications i.e., "The solution shall be fully web-based with no client component installation required on the user's workstation, mobiles, tablets, etc. via VPN or Internet". Please clarify to resolve this contradiction. | Due to large size of files required to be uploaded in the solution continuously, possibility of network interruptions during uploading, and general limitations of browsers to manage continuous heavy data transfer-desktop based batch data uploading solution is required. This tool seamlessly must be integrated with the core browser based solution. |

| 23 | Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work | Factual Information 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Semantics and AI module of OAIS framework | Knowledge Graphs, though useful in mapping of concepts, can be tedious to maintain as well as are infrastructure heavy. They require a very different database to store the data, i.e.. a Graph DB. There are excellent open-source document classifications systems available which do not use Knowledge Graphs, but use neural networks, which can be trained easily and in an automated fashion. Instead of restricting the requirement to knowledge graphs and ontologies, exploring newer technologies like neural networks for this purpose may provide for better organisation and classification of the archival documents. Also, there is no need of specialised man power that needs to be separately deployed for managing the ontology in the case of neural networks. | One can use Knowledge Graphs as well as Neural Networks or equivalent robust systems for implementing AI Module over the Enterprise Content Management System. |
| 24 | Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work | Factual Information 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Solution Framework Considerations > Point 3 | Please clarify the context in which the term users has been used in this case? | Source code of various solution components proposed to meet requirements should be available as open source code. At PMMLs discretion it may be made available for unrestricted download by the open source community. |

25	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work > 12. Other Major Responsibilities of the Bidder	"The Security of the data is the essence of the system. Hence the Bidder must implement all the security features in the system as per the requirement of the Legal System Intranet set up/Internal server/ Proxy server."	Please elaborate on the Legal System Intranet set up.	Please read "Legal" as "local".
26	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work > 12. Other Major Responsibilities of the Bidder	"Bidder will also do the required configuration with cron jobs for all required REST API endpoints and the demo of each will be illustrated by the bidder to registry technical staff."	Please clarify when this needs to be done.	The bidder will demonstrate that all APIs are working.
27	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work > 12. Other Major Responsibilities of the Bidder	" Vendor should handover a certificate in the name of "institution", issued by the "Technology Provider" (on their official letter head) certifying that "Technology Provider" themselves performed all the "Tasks" mentioned in "Scope of Work" for the institution. Technology provider should be same as proposed in the RFP response by the bidder."	What is the purpose of this certificate? What is the meaning of the terms Vendor and Technology Provider in the above clause? In case of Open Source Software, whom are you expecting a letter from?	Please refer eligibility criteria for clarification on technology provider term. PMML wants to ensure that bidder shall get work executed from the same Technology provider (if applicable), whose credentials are submitted by the bidder during the bidding stage. Hence, certificate has been solicited from the technology provider.

28	NA	NA	Missing Requirements - The Scope of Work at the start of Section 2 mentions about the Online registration of users under different categories. However, there is no further elaboration of the different user categories in the reminder of the document. Need details on the different user categories.	To be determined during the System Requirements study stage.
29	NA	NA	Missing Requirements - The Scope of Work at the start of Section 2 mentions about the Greivance Redressal Module. However, there is no further elaboration of this requirement in the reminder of this document. Please elaborate on the workflow and specifications for the grievance redressal module	Bidder is expected to deliver the grievance redressal module that enable external users to submit grievance using the submission form and same should move to concerned officer for addressal. The officer should be able to share response back with complainant based on inputs received.
30	Sub-contracting and Technology Partner	1.15 and 1.16	How is technology partner different from someone the project is sub-contracted to? What constitutes a technology partner?	Please refer eligibility criteria for clarification.

| 31 | Section 1.36 - Penalty Clause | | | The penalty amount is very high in case of delays as well as extremely discouraging. It is likely that nearly 90% of the amount will be charged along with a 4-year blacklisting for a month's delay. The overall penalty clause may serve as a deterrent for participating bidders. Also, in the case of any software projects, the customer is a primary dependency factor and any delay in customer response in the stages of SRS development, UIT (User Interface Testing) Testing), bug-fixing etc. may often cause unexpected delays which are beyond the vendor's control. | Penalty Clause may be read as: 1.45.1.1. 2.5% of cost of order/agreement per week, up to four weeks delays. |

32	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Scope Of Work - Payment Gateway Integration	In a research ecosystem, the model feasible and widely used is subscription-based by institutions. Researchers affiliated to these institutions can access the data. Individual researchers not associated with an institution will be rare and small in number and hence it may not be economically viable to build and maintain a B2C e-commerce system on the portal through a payment gateway. Instead, monetizing the archival content by selling the product (content+discovery) to institutions based on an annual subscription fee may be a more profitable solution. Hence we would like to suggest, if possible and acceptable, using an Institutional subscription based model which will help this Digital Archive portal bring revenues.	Considering current users base of PMML, requirement remain unchanged. However, we may also want subscription model for institution with provision of institutions using PMML's portal through fixed Ips and active directory with pre-defined concurrent users limit.
33	Section 2 - Terms of Reference and Scope of Work	Factual Information 11. Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives > Solution Framework Considerations	Is the developer expected to submit the applications developed for the proposed solution to DSpace community for updating the DSpace codes?	Code contribution in the community version of the proposed solution to be determined at the later stage.
34	Mobilisation/Start date	The bidder is expected to commence the project after the contract signing	Please indicate the tentative timeline/number of days to commence the execution	Immediately after signing of the contract

35	Payment Term	SRS & FRS	Can we please have a look at the current system and functionality before the bid	There is no working current system in place, it has to be custom built
36	Specifications for IT & Non-IT Infrastructure requirement		Can we propose our specifications as per the understanding the requirement	The bidder needs to quote for the hardware specified in the bid document
37	Certain Technical Requirements	Migration of data from on-premise to cloud	How will data be transfered.	through internet leased line that will be installed at PMML
38		Generic Query	Please extend the bid submission date by 7 working days so that we have sufficient time to prepare a customised competitive bid.	Already extended, no further extension required
39	Conflict of Interest	Conflict of Interest: 1.19.1. Bidders have an obligation to disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest. Failure to do so may lead to disqualification of the Bidder or termination of the Contract. 1.19.2. In the event of a conflict of interest, the Bidder is required to obtain "no objection" from PMML in order to qualify to bid.	We will be providing confirm from the Directors. Please confirm an specific requirement from their end.	No specific requirement

40	Indemnity:	Indemnity: The bidder shall obtain necessary trade and other license/ permission as may be required to carry out the tendered job and at all times indemnify the PMML against all claims which may be made in respect of any right protected by patent, copyright, registration or Trade Mark and shall take all risk of accidents or damage which may cause a failure of the supply and the entire responsibility towards fulfilment of the Contract. In the event of any claim in respect of alleged breach being made against the PMML, the PMML shall notify the bidder of same, and the bidder shall be at liberty at his own expense, to settle any dispute or to conduct any litigation that may arise there from.	Can there be an overall cap on the Liability of the bidder	No change in RFP

3. Based on the reply to the queries of the prospective bidder, the following changes in the RFP document is done as mentioned below:
   a. Point 1.36 in the RFP document to be read as:

Minimum Technical Eligibility Criteria:

The following criteria is required to be eligible technically for further consideration in the bid process and non-compliance in any of the criteria mentioned below would disqualify the bidder from proceeding to the next stage of evaluation.

S. No.	Minimum Technical Eligibility Criteria
1.	**Annual Turnover:** The Bidder should have an annual turnover of a minimum average of 4 Crore each in the last three financial years. CA certified Audited Balance Sheets for last three years to be submitted (2021 - 2022, 2022 - 2023, 2023 - 2024). The bidder should have positive net worth in each of the past three years and should be a profit making entity in past three years.
2.	**Credibility of proposed solution:** The proposed solution should be a regular product having a proven history and track record. It should not be a custom application developed on a platform or an ad-hoc retrieval solution. And if, Bidder has taken support from the technology provider, it should submit documentation (purchase orders or certificates showing the experience of implementing multiple versions of the proposed solution, including the latest version as specified in the Eligibility criteria.)
3.	**Experience:** The bidder or its technology provider must have completed or substantially (work in which at least 80% have been paid) completed of similar works (proposed solution) during last 5 years (2018-2023) should be either of the following: -: Three similar completed works (proposed solution) each for not less than 1 crore pages with different institutions of Central/State Government Institutions or autonomous bodies. OR

	Two similar completed works (proposed solution) each for not less than 1.5 crore pages with different institutions of Central/State Government Institutions or autonomous bodies.
	OR
	One similar completed work (proposed solution) for not less than 3 crore pages with different institutions of Central/State Government Institutions or autonomous bodies.
	Bidder/Technology provider should be able to substantiate delivery of above through work experience documents produced as a part of technical response along with submission of the live URL of reference site.
4.	**TECHNOLOGY STANDARD -**The proposed solution should be developed using open source technology which is non-proprietary/ robust in nature with completely independent front and backend applications. The institution would like the freedom to develop its custom applications using REST APIs of Knowledge Management System's backend application in the future.
5.	**Past Performance History –** Bidder and/or the technology provider should have a clean past performance history. Bidder and/or the technology provider should not have any blacklisting, poor performance, and/or project cancellation without completion history in capacity of bidder, or technology provider.
	All parties involved in the bid i.e., bidder and technology provider to provide an undertaking conforming to this requirement and that if Institution finds non-conformance of Bidder, or the technology provider towards this clause then the bid would be summarily rejected.
6.	Bidder can be a Business Entity which shall be a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956 or 2013, partnership firm registered under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932, or LLP registered under The Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008

S. No.		
7.	Bidders should also submit relevant tax related documents and any other certifications. ITR returns for last three years to be submitted PAN & GST Certificates to be submitted.	
8.	The prospective bidder should have a local presence in Delhi NCR.	

b. Point 1.38 to be read as:

Score matrix to evaluate the technical bids. (Award of Marks):

S. No.	Technical Criteria	Maximum Marks
1	**Annual Turnover:** The Bidder should have an annual turnover of a minimum average of 4 cr. each in the last three financial years. CA certified Audited Balance Sheets for last three years to be submitted (2021 - 2022, 2022 - 2023, 2023 - 2024) i. 4.00 – 8.00 Cr : 5 marks ii. 8.01 – 12.00 crore : 10 marks iii. Above 12.00 crore – 15 marks	**15**
2	The bidder having positive net worth in each of the past three years and is a profit making entity in past three years.	**10**
3	**Approach & Methodology** – The bidder should submit a document to substantiate his approach towards the project	**10**

4	**Experience:** Completed works of similar nature (proposed solution) during last 5 years (2018-2023) should be either of the following: -: Three similar completed works (proposed solution) each for not less than 1 crore pages with different institutions of Central/State Government Institutions or autonomous bodies. OR Two similar completed works (proposed solution) each for not less than 1.5 crore pages with different institutions of Central/State Government Institutions or autonomous bodies. OR One similar completed work (proposed solution) for not less than 3 crore pages with different institutions of Central/State Government Institutions or autonomous bodies. Bidder/Technology provider should be able to substantiate delivery of above through work experience documents produced as a part of technical response along with potential live walkthrough of production site would be needed.	**10**
5	**Past Performance History –** Bidder and/or the technology provider should have a clean past performance history. Bidder and/or the technology provider should not have any blacklisting, poor performance, and/or project cancellation without completion history in capacity of bidder, or technology provider.	**5**

Only Agency obtaining a total score of 70 (on a scale of maximum of 100) or more on the basis of criteria for evaluation given in this bid, would be declared technically qualified. Every technical bid shall be awarded an absolute technical score of 'T' marks out of a total of 100 marks.

	All parties involved in the bid i.e., bidder and technology provider to provide an undertaking conforming to this requirement and that if Institution finds non-conformance of Bidder, or the technology provider towards this clause then the bid would be summarily rejected.		
6	Bidder can be a Business Entity which shall be a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956 or 2013, partnership firm registered under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932, or LLP registered under The Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008	**5**	
7	Bidders should also submit relevant tax related documents and any other certifications. ITR returns for last three years to be submitted PAN & GST Certificates to be submitted.	**5**	
8	The prospective bidder should have a local presence in Delhi NCR.	**5**	

9	The Presentation will be evaluated as per the following parameters:
	
	    i. Company Profile
	    ii. Capabilities
	    iii. Scope of work adherence
	    iv. Experience of similar works
		30	

	TOTAL	**100**	

    c.   Point 1.45.1.1. to be read as: 2.5% of cost of order/agreement per week, up to four weeks delays

d. In Section 2, under Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives: Point 1 under Architecture to be read as:

   The solution shall be fully web-based with no client component installation required on the user's workstation, mobiles, tablets, etc. via VPN or Internet. Solution stack should be built using Java Springboot, Angular, Node, Python, graph or equivalent robust technologies.

e. In Section 2, under Functional Specifications for the Digital Archives: Point 2 under Architecture to be read as:

   Proposed solution should have headless Content Management System approach with all functionalities exposed through REST APIs to the front-end application. And the front-end module should be developed using the Angular or equivalent robust technology.

4. **If any prospective bidder has further queries, they are free to mail them at aao.nmml@gov.in till 05:00 PM on 31st August, 2024.**